



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed the prior art document, McAfee et al. (US 2003/0206312 A1), which discloses that the print job is sent to that remote printer. The remote printer can be accessed via a location identifier (e.g., IP address, URL), while the claims (for example, claim 1) is directed to determining, by said computer system, a geographic location of said computer system, determining, by said computer system, a geographic location of said electronic device; determining, by said computer system, if said geographic location of said computer system is remote to said geographic location of said electronic device; and if said geographic location of said computer system is remote to said geographic location of said electronic device, preventing, by said computer system, output of said information to said electronic device, as recited in claim 1. Discussed that the geographic location is provided by the location-determining device, such as, from a GPS (see page 11 of the specification). Discussed the computer-readable medium which is disclosed on pages 8-9 in the specification. Finally, discussed an examiner's amendment in order to clarify the claims. In claim 1, line 2, "." is changed to --,-- and in claim 13, line 1, "further" is deleted (see the attached examiner's amendment for more specific details).